

# **Board of Directors**

#### Chair

Judith Aberg, MD, FIDSA

New York University School of Medicine Infectious Diseases

#### Chair-Elect

Michael Horberg, MD, MAS, FIDSA

Kaiser Permanente Internal Medicine

#### Vice Chair

Joel Gallant, MD, MPH, FIDSA

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine Infectious Diseases

### Immediate Past Chair

Kathleen Squires, MD

Jefferson Medical College Infectious Diseases

Wendy Armstrong, MD, FIDSA

**Emory University** Infectious Diseases

Tess Barton, MD

University of Texas Southwestern Pediatric Infectious Diseases

J. Kevin Carmichael, MD, FIDSA

El Rio Special Immunology Associates Family Medicine

Kathleen Clanon, MD

Alameda County Medical Center Internal Medicine

Judith Currier, MD, MSc, FIDSA

LICI A-CARE Infectious Diseases

Edwin DeJesus, MD, FACP

Orlando Immunology Center Infectious Diseases

### **IDSA Board Representative**

Carlos del Rio, MD, FIDSA

**Emory University** Infectious Diseases

W. David Hardy, MD

Cedars-Sinai Medical Center/UCLA Infectious Diseases

Lisa Hirschhorn, MD, MPH

Harvard Medical School Infectious Diseases

Theresa Mack, MD, MPH

St. Lukes Hospital Internal Medicine

Richard Moore, MD, MHS, FIDSA

Johns Hopkins University Internal Medicine

### **Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society Liaison**

Sharon Nachman, MD, FIDSA

Stony Brook University Medical Center Pediatric Infectious Diseases

**Advisory Member** 

Alice Pau, PharmD, FIDSA

NIH/NIAID/OCR Open Seat

James Raper, DSN, CRNP, JD, FAANP, FAAN

University of Alabama at Birmingham Nurse Practitoner

**Executive Director** 

Andrea Weddle, MSW

November 4, 2011 [by electronic submission]

Jerry Menikoff, MD, JD Office for Human Research Protections U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 200 Rockville, MD 20852

RE: Human Subjects Research Protections: Enhancing Protections for Research Subjects and Reducing Burden, Delay, and Ambiguity for Investigators (Docket ID Number HHS-OPHS-2011-0005)

Dear Dr. Menikoff:

The HIV Medicine Association (HIVMA) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed changes to the rules governing human subjects research protections. HIVMA represents more than 4,700 clinicians and scientists devoted to patient care, prevention, public health, education, and research in the area of HIV/AIDS and related co-morbidities. HIVMA strongly supports the goal of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to enhance human subject research protections while modernizing and simplifying the Common Rule regulations to reduce "burden, delay and ambiguity" for investigators.

HIV infection continues to be associated with significant discrimination and stigma and disproportionately affects minority populations who historically have been reluctant to participate in clinical trials. We appreciate HHS efforts to change the rules governing research to protect patients and enhance research capacity to improve patient care and public health.

We offer comments below on the areas of greatest interest or concern to us.

# **Strengthening Data Protections to Minimize Information Risks**

HIVMA supports the establishment of mandatory data security standards as a more effective way of minimizing information risks than IRB review. It is not the intended role of IRBs to evaluate information risk, and they usually lack the expertise or capacity to accomplish this task effectively. Released from reviewing privacy risk, IRBs would be able to devote more time to evaluating medical risks, which would enhance protection for research subjects and reduce delay for investigators.

# Streamlining Institutional Review Board (IRB) Review of Multi-site Studies

HIVMA strongly supports and urges adoption of the proposed reform to mandate a single IRB of record in domestic multi-site research studies. There are extreme inefficiencies created by multiple local IRB reviews of multi-site studies, with median times to approval for multicenter protocols reported as ranging from 1.5 to 15 months<sup>1</sup> (question 33). HIVMA member scientists have reported numerous examples of extensive IRB-related backlogs causing prolonged delays in research studies, even

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Infectious Diseases Society of America, "Grinding to a Halt: The Effects of the Increasing Regulatory Burden on Research and Quality Improvement Efforts," Clinical Infectious Diseases 49 (2009).

for minimal risk protocols. Often, such redundant layers of review are prompted not by a motivation to improve or ensure research protections for local subjects, but rather by fear of regulatory and legal liability. We strongly encourage HHS to mandate, rather than simply encourage, a single IRB of record (question 30).

## **Harmonizing Adverse Events Reporting**

HIVMA supports the goal of harmonizing policies and requirements for the reporting of safety data or adverse events. Although the proposals to standardize data elements and implement a Federal-wide portal are a step in the right direction, HHS should clarify and expand the third proposal: "harmonizing safety reporting guidance across all Federal agencies." Responsibility for adverse event reporting and analysis in multi-site studies should lie solely with data centers and data monitoring committees, and HHS should seize this opportunity to provide clarity in this area and eliminate the ineffective redundancies.

### **Informed Consent for Biospecimens**

HIVMA is concerned about the proposed reforms in informed consent which will require written general consent for the research use of biospecimens, even if the investigator does not possess identifiable information. This proposed change from current requirements would stymie research that relies on the use of stored biospecimens, including anonymized left-over tissue, blood cultures, and bacterial strains. While we support improved patient protections, we are concerned that the reforms proposed here would negatively impact clinical and epidemiological research.

We strongly urge maintaining the current practice of allowing research on biospecimens that have been collected outside a research study, as long as the subject's identity is never disclosed to the investigator (question 47). The IOM and others have previously argued that informed consent is not an effective way to protect individuals' privacy. We believe that a more effective way of protecting individuals' privacy is to institute strong penalties against re-identification of biospecimens. Finally, if HHS does adopt the new informed consent policy, it is critical that it be applied only prospectively (question 52).

HIVMA is supportive of the proposed reforms to simplify informed consent and to calibrate the level of review to the level of risk. More succinct consent forms will facilitate patient education on potential risks and harms and better support patients in making educated decisions about participation in clinical research. Consent forms can sometimes be up to 30 pages long, in which case the intent is clearly to protect the institutions rather than the study participant. Consent forms are supposed to be written at a 6th to 8th grade level, but this goal cannot be achieved when the length of the consent form is excessive, regardless of how it is written. Patients who sign such forms are less likely to be truly informed about the risks and benefits of participating in the research study. We are similarly supportive of the proposal to eliminate continuing review of expedited studies, as these studies involve no more than minimal risk.

HIVMA appreciates the opportunity to comment on this HHS ANPRM, and looks forward to revised regulations that will protect patients while enhancing the research enterprise. Should you have any questions about these comments, please contact Kimberly Crump, HIVMA's Policy Officer, at <a href="mailto:kcrump@hivma.org">kcrump@hivma.org</a> or (703) 740-4957.

Sincerely,

Judith A. Aberg, MD, FIDSA

Chair, HIV Medicine Association

John a ay